

South Africa draft tobacco Bill - protects cigarette trade and denies smokers options to quit

written by Clive Bates | 8 August 2018

Adult Smoking (15+ Y.O.)
% using tobacco daily: 2015



Male

31.4%

Even though fewer men smoke on average in South Africa than on average in medium-HDI countries, there are still more than 4691500 men who smoke cigarettes each day, making it an ongoing and dire public health threat.

Female

6.5%

More women smoke in South Africa than on average in medium-HDI countries.

South Africa smoking prevalence -
Tobacco Atlas

Source: [South Africa Factsheet - Tobacco Atlas](#)

David Abrams, Ray Niaura, David Sweanor and I have submitted comments on the draft tobacco and vaping legislation under discussion in South Africa. South Africa is always influential in low and middle income countries, especially in Africas, and is always an important player in WHO meetings.

The draft legislation is almost completely disproportionate in its approach to tobacco harm reduction technologies. It mostly treats reduced-risk products as though they are the same as smoking products. The alternative philosophy, which we advocate, is to adopt 'risk proportionate regulation' that encourages (or rather, *does not inhibit*) smokers from using vaping, novel nicotine products, heated tobacco or smokeless tobacco products to quit smoking.

Our full 20-page submission is [here](#).

The short summary is reproduced below.

Summary

We welcome the opportunity to comment on the [Draft Control of Tobacco Products and Electronic Delivery Systems Bill 2018](#) . To summarise our advice:

- *The draft Bill does not sufficiently differentiate between nicotine products of very widely differing risk to health. It does not embrace the definition of ‘tobacco control’ used in the WHO FCTC, which includes ‘harm reduction’. As these products are rising in importance, this is a significant weakness in a major Bill that should set direction for several years.*
- *We are concerned the draft Bill will cause harm to health by obstructing smokers switching from high risk combustible products (cigarettes) to low-risk non-combustible products (including vaping, heated products and smokeless tobacco). These switches should be encouraged.*
- *There is a substantial body of evidence that electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS) and other low-risk products are helping smokers to quit. This could help countries meet targets for reducing non-communicable diseases more rapidly and at minimal cost to government.*
- *Many countries are now revising their approach to new technologies that compete with cigarettes, most recently New Zealand and Canada. Countries like the UK have adopted ‘risk proportionate’, which is championed by the Royal College of Physicians and Public Health England. It would be disappointing if South Africa adopted a backward-looking tobacco control Bill just as other countries were modernising their approach.*
- *We are concerned that the draft Bill would protect the cigarette trade and favour the incumbent tobacco industry. The draft Bill would erect barriers to entry to innovative low-risk products and by make access to lower risk products more difficult, less appealing and more expensive.*
- *The Bill presents an opportunity for South Africa to lead in tobacco policy, to influence low and middle income countries, especially in Africa, and to lead thinking in WHO. But this will require a different approach to that used in the current draft Bill. We have made a range of*

suggestions for text amendment in Section 2, but the most important step is to redefine the strategic policy intent to exploit the opportunities of tobacco harm reduction rather than treat them as a threat.

- *In Section 1 of this submission, we provide some context and a brief introduction to the concept of tobacco harm reduction.*
- *In Section 2, we set out proposals for amending the Bill. We commence this section with a suggested change in strategic intent as this would underpin proposed text changes.*
- *In Section 3, we provide five insights into tobacco harm reduction policy drawn from the 2016 report of the Royal College of Physicians. We hope the Ministry finds these insights persuasive and useful as it develops this legislation.*

*David B. Abrams PhD
Professor, Department of Social and Behavioral Science
NYU College of Global Public Health
New York University.
United States*

*Clive D. Bates MSc
Director,
Counterfactual
Harare, Zimbabwe
London, United Kingdom*

*Raymond S. Niaura PhD
Professor, Department of Social and Behavioral Science
NYU College of Global Public Health
New York University.
United States*

*David T. Swenor JD
Adjunct Professor of Law
Chair of the Advisory Board of the Centre for Health Law, Policy and Ethics
University of Ottawa,
Canada*

It has been picked up on Medical Brief, a prominent African Medical News

Service: [International experts highlight 'significant weakness' in SA Tobacco Bill](#)

I hope the Government of South Africa has the wisdom to rethink. Otherwise I fear they will be going backwards on tobacco harm reduction just as others are advancing.